

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1490 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1480 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/862,803	05/22/2001	Bernhard Kern	1625			
STRIKER, STRIKER & STENBY			EXAMINER			
103 East Neck Road Huntington, NY 11743			LIN, KUANG Y			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		1725				
			DATE MAIL ED. 00.12.2002			

DATE MAILED: 09:12 2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.		Applicant(s)				
•	Office Action Summary	09/862,8	303		KERN, BERNHARD				
	Examine	r		Art Unit					
	Kuang Y.			1725					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	tion appears on th	ie cover s	sheet with the co	rrespondence address				
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no enation. ays, a reply within the starty period will apply and with by statute, cause the apply statute.	vent, howeve atutory minim will expire SI plication to b	er, may a reply be time num of thirty (30) days v X (6) MONTHS from th decome ABANDONED	y filed vill be considered timely. e mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>01 August 20</u> 0	03 and 0	8 September 20	<u>03</u> .				
2a) <u>⊡</u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is	s non-fina	al.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims									
•	4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-15</u> is/are wi		sideratio	n.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 16</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election	requirem	ent.					
•	on Papers		·						
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.							
10) 🗌 .	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)☐	objected	to by the Exam	iner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objecti		s) be held	in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🔲	The proposed drawing correction filed or	n is: a)⊟ a	approved	l b)□ disapprov	ed by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority u	nder 35 l	U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of t application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for	onal Bureau (PCT	Rule 17	'.2(a)).	-				
14) 🗌 A	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
) \square The translation of the foreign languaction \square The translation of the foreign language.								
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper		5) 🔲 N		PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/862,803

Art Unit: 1725

- 1. Applicant in response to the objection to the drawing by sketching the changes to be made without submitting corrected formal drawings. Applicants is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. Applicant is further advised that the corrected formal drawings are required in reply to this office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for the corrected formal drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. Applicant is advised that claim 15 filed on August 1, 2003 had been changed to "claim 16". (See rule 1.126).
- 3. The amendment to page 9, lines 5-20 of the specification is objected to in that the reference numeral for the heating means shall be "2" rather than "20". Also, in the amendment to page 10, lines 1-10 of the specification, it is not clear what "bubble storage" is.
- 4. Claims 1-7 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 6, it is not clear what structure arrangement that the system is closed from outside. In claims 2 and 3, it is not clear how the additional solid light metal is supplied and where the antecedent basis in the specification for the claimed feature is. In clam 5, it is not clear how the metal can be solidified by simply moving the tool device away. In claim 7, there is a lack of antecedent basis in the specification for the claimed feature.

Application/Control Number: 09/862,803

Art Unit: 1725

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-7 and 16 insofar as definite are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE-44 31 865 and further in view of either Jorn et al, Blum et al, Muller or JP 63-268,559 for the same reasons as set forth in the last office action.

Namely, DE '865 substantially shows the invention as claimed except that it does not show to provide heating means at the lower end of the molten metal dosing chamber. However, each of the secondary references shows to provide heating means at the lower end of the molten metal dosing chamber such that to ensure free flow of the molten metal from the dosing chamber into the casting mold. It would have been obvious to provide the heating means of the secondary references in the casting apparatus of DE '865 such that to facilitate the molten metal dispensing process.

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed August 1, 2003 and September 8, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 - a. In page 26 of the remarks of August 1, applicant stated that DE '865 can not obtain a stable protective gas atmosphere since it required an overpressure of 0.5-0.3 bar to obtain a stable protective gas atmosphere. However, the scope of the claim does not include that limitation.

Application/Control Number: 09/862,803 Page 4

Art Unit: 1725

b. In pages 26-27 of the remarks of August 1, applicant stated that DE '865 does not require a clear separate point of the instant invention. However, the scope of the claim does not include that limitation.

- c. In pages 27-28 of the remarks of August 1, applicant broadly stated that all the secondary references do not disclose the claimed manufacturing process. However, all the secondary are cited to show that it is conventional to provide a heated conical nozzle for dispensing molten metal such that to maintain the molten metal in a designated temperature when it leaves the nozzle. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).
- d. In page 2 of the remarks of Sept. 8, applicant stated that the term "bubble" storage identifies a corresponding embodiment of the pressure storage.

 However, the specification does not make that point clear. Further, it is not a conventional expression to state that the bubble storage is the pressure storage.
- e. With respect to the argument as appearing in page 2 of Sept. 8, the induction coil of Blum et al will heat the molten metal in addition to shaping the molten metal jet.
- 8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 5

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuang Y. Lin whose telephone number is 703-308-2322. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00-6:30...

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas X Dunn can be reached on 703-308-3318. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

721